#### **BEFORE**

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### **SOUTH CAROLINA**

### **DOCKET NO. 2019-64-WS**

Application of CUC, Inc. for Approval of an Increase in Rates and Charges and Modifications to Certain Terms and Conditions for the Provisions of Water and Sewer Service Provided to its Customers at Callawassie Island and Spring Island in Beaufort County, South Carolina

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY
OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER
TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC
HEARING ON DECEMBER 9, 2019

- 1 Q. Please state your name and title.
- 2 A. I am Suzy Mikell, President of CUC, Inc. ("CUC").
- 3 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 4 A. I am testifying in response to the customers who testified at the public hearing held on
- 5 Callawassie Island on December 9. 2019.
- 6 Q. What is your reaction to having so many customers oppose your rate increase?
- 7 A. It is difficult to see so many of our customers upset. I believe we have always provided
- 8 good service, and we value our customer relationships. However, CUC's last rate increase was in
- 9 2014, and the company is nowhere close to earning its authorized rate of return.
- 10 Q. Please respond to customers who desire the option of paying their bills on-line.
- 11 A. As stated in my rebuttal testimony, CUC plans to offer this service. In response to the
- 12 concerns voiced by our customers at the hearing, we plan to offer an online payment option by the
- 13 end of 2020. We will update the Commission and our customers on our implementation plan for
- an online payment option by July 1, 2020.

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC NIGHT HEARING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2019

DOCKET No. 2019-64-WS

# 1 Q. Please respond to customers who said they do not have enough time to pay their bills.

- 2 A. While our bills are due on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month, we do not send late payment letters
- 3 until the end of the month following the bill, and we always try to avoid disconnecting service.
- 4 However, in response to our customers' testimony, we will change the due date for payment to 25
- 5 days after the bill is sent. Also, our plan to provide an online payment service next year should
- 6 alleviate these customers' concerns.
- 7 Q. One customer said he would like to see more information about his charges on his
- 8 bill; how do you respond?
- 9 A. As shown on the bill submitted as Public Hearing Exhibit 6, CUC's bill shows the
- 10 customer's current and historic usage over the past twelve months. Our customer is correct that
- 11 the base facilities charge is not broken out from the consumption charge on the bill, and we are
- 12 looking into reformatting our bills to provide this information.
- 13 Q. How do you respond to customer complaints that CUC's rates are too high compared
- 14 to other water and sewer service providers?
- 15 A. Many customers appeared to refer to the rate comparison in Pat Parkinson's testimony. As
- 16 I explained in my rebuttal testimony, this comparison is not useful. Most entities referred to by
- 17 Mr. Parkinson are public service districts, municipalities, or non-profits. They are non-profit
- entities that do not pay income or property taxes and have access to different financing than private
- 19 utilities.
- Rate comparisons with private utilities are problematic as well. For instance, a customer
- 21 testified that a utility he owned in North Carolina, had rates much lower than CUC. When asked,
- 22 he testified the utility was a provider of well water, unlike CUC, which provides water purchased

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC NIGHT HEARING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2019

DOCKET NO. 2019-64-WS

1 from the Beaufort-Jasper Water and Sewer Authority. Also, a review of public filings with the

2 North Carolina Public Utilities Commission shows the utility and its largest customer, a luxury

3 hotel, were owned by the same corporation. High Hampton, Inc. had \$50,883 in revenues and <u>lost</u>

4 \$16,213 in 2016, the year before our customers' company sold it. So I respectfully disagree with

his testimony that this company is comparable to CUC. Utilities are operated with many purposes

and financial models, and the only way to evaluate CUC's application is based on its underlying

7 facts.

5

6

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

## 8 Q. Some customers complained of high bills; how do you respond?

9 A. I urge the Commission to remember that CUC bills on a bi-monthly basis for water and

sewer service. Some of the total amounts may initially seem high to you because they represent

usage over twice the customary 30-day billing period. I am also providing a confidential exhibit

with my testimony to respond to some specific allegations made.

13 Q. Some customers questioned the company's control of expenses; how do you respond?

Q. CUC's operating expenses were audited during this rate case, and we have agreed with the

ORS's recommended adjustments to them. The company's expenses are reasonable and prudent.

Some customers misunderstood the company's filings. For instance, one customer was

mistaken when he said a Mercedes automobile is a company asset being paid for by the customers

through rates. That is not true. CUC is only claiming mileage at the standard rate for this vehicle.

Other customers mistakenly believed CUC was asking to cover the salaries of both of my

daughters, who work for the company as office assistants. As explained in my rebuttal testimony,

See Application for Transfer of Public Utility Franchise and for Approval of Rates of High Hampton, Incoporated, May 24, 2017. Docket W-1318 Sub 0. <a href="https://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/page/docket\_docs/PSC/DocketDetails.aspx?DocketId=eeec4627-2ac2-423f-97f7-87049d7f2b00">https://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/page/docket\_docs/PSC/DocketDetails.aspx?DocketId=eeec4627-2ac2-423f-97f7-87049d7f2b00</a>.

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC NIGHT HEARING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2019

DOCKET NO. 2019-64-WS

1 CUC included no expenses associated with my daughter, Jessica Jordan, who works for the

2 company.

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

3 Q. Do officers receive 33% of the company's gross revenues in salaries and benefits as

several customers testified?

5 A. No. CUC's officer salaries and benefits equaled 20% of the company's adjusted gross

revenues during the test year and would be 17% of gross revenues after the requested increase. I

would reiterate that CUC voluntarily subtracted officer bonuses from its application. Bonuses are

not charged to the ratepayers. CUC's officer salaries and benefits were not the subject of any

adjustment by the ORS. In his direct testimony, Pat Parkinson's testimony added "profit" to officer

salaries and benefits to arrive at his estimate that officers receive 33% of gross revenues. However,

if the company were owned by outside investors, they would be entitled to earn an operating

margin, but would still have to pay officer salaries.

13 Q. Please respond to the testimony of customers who said CUC is marking up the cost of

water purchased from the BJWSA.

15 A. CUC does not merely purchase water from BJWSA to mark it up for resale. These

customers do not understand that we provide service far beyond reading meters and billing. For

instance, one customer testified he assumed BJWSA would be responsible for major construction

or repair of water and sewer lines. That is clearly not the case, as is evidenced by our ongoing

operation to renovate our lift stations on Callawassie Island. I do not expect customers to be

familiar with the day to day operations of our companies, but these misconceptions should not be

21 relied upon by the Commission when it decides on our application.

Responsive Testimony of Susan B. Mikell To Customer Testimony at the Public Night Hearing Held December 9,2019

DOCKET NO. 2019-64-WS

## Q. Should CUC require employees to contribute to health insurance as many customers

### 2 testified?

1

- 3 A. Several witnesses testified CUC's health insurance expenses were too high and the
- 4 Company should require its employees to share in the premiums. However, no witness offered
- 5 what a reasonable expenditure for health insurance would be. To merely focus on the concept of
- 6 premium sharing to control expenses ignores other relevant factors, such as premiums, deductibles,
- 7 co-pays, the plan benefits, and salary levels. As I point out in my rebuttal testimony, our plan has
- 8 a relatively high deductible, \$1,500/\$3,000 single/family, and a high limit on out-of-pocket
- 9 expenses, \$4,000/\$8,000 single/family. These criticisms are not useful in evaluating CUC's
- expenditure for health insurance, which has not been challenged by the ORS's audit.

# 11 Q. Please respond to criticism of the company's availability fees?

- 12 A. At least one customer who owns a vacant lot did not believe she should be charged
- 13 availability fees. As I explained in my rebuttal testimony, the company wants to cease charging
- 14 availability fess because of the difficulty we have collecting them. However, availability fees are
- 15 not unusual for utilities, particularly in developing neighborhoods, and they offset operating
- expenses otherwise absorbed by the company's active customers.
- 17 Q. Two customers testified that the company should not charge a base facilities charge
- 18 for an irrigation meter, please respond.
- 19 A. The base facilities charge is a necessary component of any utility rate that covers the fixed
- 20 cost of maintaining a utilities' facilities. If a base facilities charge were not imposed on irrigation
- 21 meters, these costs would be borne by other customers.

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC NIGHT HEARING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2019

DOCKET No. 2019-64-WS

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

One customer claimed he was "scolded" for turning off his irrigation meters. CUC's

2 meters require a special wrench to be turned off, so if a customer resorts to "self-help" with their

own tools they risk damaging the meter. I doubt the customer was scolded, but our staff may well

have told him he should not have attempted to disconnect his own service. If he wants to

disconnect his irrigation meter, we will gladly do so, and he will no longer be charged a base

facilities charge, but he has made no such request of the Company.

7 Q. One customer testified that CUC failed to maintain and timely repair a fire hydrant.

8 A. A customer claimed CUC took eight months to replace a faulty fire hydrant. We replaced

the fire hydrant, but it was always operational. The area around the hydrant was excavated to

provide clear access to the hose connection. Callawassie Island's landscapers often build up berms

around the hydrants which obstruct the hose connection. A picture of this fire hydrant is attached

as Exhibit SBM-CR-1 and shows how close to the ground the hose connections are. This hydrant

was replaced with a new one that could be installed on an 18-inch riser. Our customers can rest

assured that CUC staff regularly inspects the system to ensure it is well maintained.

15 Q. Please respond to customer criticism that the company does not have a website, and

its contact information is not readily available.

17 A. I understand their concerns, and CUC will have a website online within the next few weeks.

However, our customers know how to reach us. We have an office on the premises for customers

on Callawassie or Spring Island. Our telephone number is on our bills. And a simple web search

will readily provide our contact information as demonstrated by the screenshot of search results

attached as Exhibit SBM-CR-2. We do not publicize the contact information for the Florence

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF SUSAN B. MIKELL TO CUSTOMER TESTIMONY AT THE PUBLIC NIGHT HEARING HELD DECEMBER 9, 2019 DOCKET NO. 2019-64-WS

- 1 office because it is more efficient for the local office to be the first point of customer contact.
- 2 However, our local personnel do not hesitate to provide my contact information on request.
- 3 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

# EXHIBIT SBM-CR-1



# EXHIBIT SBM -CR-2



Cuc, inc. callawassie island

Q

Q

All 

Maps 

News 

Images 

Shopping 

More Settings Tools

About 7,000 results (0.87 seconds)

## CUC Inc in Callawassie Island, SC - Data Publishing

results.datapub.com → White-Pages → CUC-Inc → profile ▼
CUC Inc in Callawassie Island, SC - View business profiles in Callawassie Island at results.datapub.com.

## Cuc Inc on Spring Island Dr in Okatie, SC - 843-987-2959 ...

https://taxicab-companies.cmac.ws > cuc-inc •

WS - Cuc Inc of 262 Spring Island Dr, Okatie, SC 29909. Find Taxi Service in Okatie, SC. Get customer ratings - add your information to TAXICAB-COMPANIES.

# Cuc Inc - Okatie, South Carolina - Business Service | Facebook

https://www.facebook.com → ... → Okatie, South Carolina → Business Service ▼ Phone, (843) 987-2727 · Address. 99 Utility Ct; Okatie, South Carolina 29909.

## CUC Inc Callawassie Island Okatie SC (843) 987-2727

www.engineers-directory.com > engineer > cuc-inc-callawassie-island-okat... ▼
Results 1 - 20 of 135232 - South Carolina : Health Clubs & Gyms, Water Utility Companies,
Fitness Consultants, Water Supply Engineers, Taxi Services ...

## Water System Details - SC.gov

dwwwebvm.dhec.sc.gov > DWW > JSP > WaterSystemDetail

